

WOMAN DEMANDS \$8,000 FROM SON OF MORMON CHIEF

Sues John W. Young to Recover Judgment Granted 15 Years Ago in England.

HE'S HAD VARIED CAREER

Lost Fortune in Shipbuilding Trust Smash After Living in Lavish Style.

Unusual mystery is made by the lawyer of an action brought in the Supreme Court against John Willard Young, son of Brigham Young, the Mormon leader, to recover a judgment of \$8,000 obtained in an English court fifteen years ago. Miss Woodford, who is said to be a niece of the late "Mormon" leader, is one time President of the Transatlantic Republic, is an English girl and the daughter of Miss. Suzanne Woodford, who owned much property in South Africa before the Boer war.

Miss Woodford, through her counsel, Pierre M. Brown, has applied to Justice Greenbaum for an order directing Mr. Young to sign certain testimony given two years ago and also for an order that an open commission should be taken the testimony of four Lewis and Robert who appeared in the original suit when it was tried in the High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division.

Neither Mr. Brown nor Alfred J. Joseph, Mr. Young's lawyer, professed to know for what reason the judgment was rendered against Mr. Young. Mr. Joseph intimates that Miss Woodford's mother was the original complainant against him and that the mother had assigned the claim to the daughter, who is now travelling in the United States. Mr. Brown said the judgment was sent to him from a correspondent abroad for collection here.

MORMON LIVED IN LAVISH STYLE IN LONDON.

The London solicitors who may be examined are George Tryman, who represented Mr. Young, and Horace G. Harwood, Walter S. Hudson and Robert C. Witt, who appeared for the plaintiff. It was learned that in 1897, when the suit was tried, Mr. Young's daughter and Miss Woodford were attending school in Brussels. When the two girls returned to London, where the Mormon was then stopping, calls were exchanged and in this manner the Youngs and Woodfords became intimately acquainted. Mr. Young at this time was living in expensive style and entertaining lavishly. Mrs. Woodford had an elaborate suite in a London hotel.

The only hint as to what is back of the suit came from the office of one of the lawyers in the case, to the effect that Mrs. Woodford had been persuaded by Mr. Young to invest in certain American securities, the latter declaring that the investment was for stock in a company of which he was only an officer, that he never intended to leave England, and in this manner the Youngs and Woodfords became intimately acquainted. Mr. Young at this time was living in expensive style and entertaining lavishly. Mrs. Woodford had an elaborate suite in a London hotel.

It was only hint as to what is back of the suit came from the office of one of the lawyers in the case, to the effect that Mrs. Woodford had been persuaded by Mr. Young to invest in certain American securities, the latter declaring that the investment was for stock in a company of which he was only an officer, that he never intended to leave England, and in this manner the Youngs and Woodfords became intimately acquainted. Mr. Young at this time was living in expensive style and entertaining lavishly. Mrs. Woodford had an elaborate suite in a London hotel.

About this time he was involved in the collapse of the shipbuilding trust and many thousands of dollars. Since that time Mr. Young, who now lives in New York, has been interested in many enterprises, from the financing of Broadway shows to the development of roads and mines in Mexico and the West.

MURDER AT CHURCH FAIR FOLLOWS A CAKE AUCTION.

Bullet Meant for One Bidder Hits Another—Slayer Storms Building Seeking Enemy.

PRENTON, N. J., Jan. 18.—At the close of a church fair held in a Baptist church of Hightstown, a fatal shot from here, early today, Miss Taylor shot and killed Obadiah Miller in an effort to kill Charles Warming. The shooting took place while the cakes were being auctioned off at the close of the fair. All three men, however, were bidding on a fruit cake when Taylor drew a revolver and fired at Warming. The bullet entering Warming's brain, Taylor fled, but returned a few minutes later and attempted to re-enter the building to reach Warming, but was prevented by the door being barred. The occupants of the church became panic-stricken.

Taylor escaped, and Marshall Lawrence Y. Clark and a posse are now in pursuit. The crime is said to have been principally caused by jealousy between Taylor and Warming over a woman. There had been bad feeling between the two men for a long time.

Empire Brennan Has Signed. ST. PAUL, Jan. 18.—William Brennan, umpire, today signed and mailed to President Leary of the National Baseball League his contract for 1913. It is understood that the contract provided for a salary of \$10,000 over Brennan's salary last year.

They Cure Colds in One Day.
Get Cough & Croup Cured. Dr. J. H. H. H. H.

SHOULD THE WIFE BE THE BOSS? Tenth Article of a Series.

Copyright, 1913, by The Press Publishing Co. (The New York World).


"Business Is Business, Even in Love,"

A Married Woman Informs the Girls

"I HAVE WORKED HARD AND LOST SLEEP NIGHTS"

"IT'S A HUSBAND'S PLACE TO BE THE BOSS OF HIS OWN HOME!"

"MY FATHER-IN-LAW SAID, AFTER OUR WEDDING, IF MY HUSBAND EVER AMOUNTED TO MUCH IT WOULD BE BECAUSE I MADE HIM SO"



"Do Not Marry Until You Have Some Property of Your Own That Brings an Income," Writes "C. H. B." "That Is Better Than Having to Tag After Some Man and Beg for the Little You Get."

"Our Home and Other Real Estate Are in My Husband's Name, So That He Can Will Away From My Children if I Die—That's Why He Is So Bossy," She Declares.

BY NIXOLA GREELEY-SMITH.

Perhaps the most remarkable circumstance relating to this discussion of the headship of the home is that I have yet to receive a letter from any woman who makes the claim that wives are entitled to more than an equal footing in family life. On the other hand, numberless men have urged the necessity of the absolute rulership of the husband. There cannot be two heads, they say, and only one being possible, that of course, should be the one that keeps the barber shops busy. Not a solitary single woman has put forward an argument in favor of the feminine autocracy declared by Mary Garden to exist in every American household. No woman, apparently, seeks to be boss. Man, on the contrary, has cited everything from Genesis to Chesterton to support his inherent belief that he should have dominion over his wife. In a most unusual communication which appears to-day a woman who has been married eighteen years calls attention to present inequalities in the laws affecting husbands and wives, and pleads for the establishment of a community property law here such as exists in many States of the South and West. The moral she draws from eighteen years of the partnership of marriage is not particularly encouraging.

"BUSINESS IS BUSINESS, EVEN IN LOVE."

"Girls, do not marry until you have some property of your own that brings in an income, however small. That is better than having to tag some man and beg for the little you can get. Business is business, even in love." The recognition that "business is business, even in love" is at the bottom of the whole Continental view of marriage, with its dowries and contracts. Sentimental youth is revolted by the suggestion that considerations of money should taint the bridal beauty of young love. But the noble young man who scorns the cash and takes the dowryless girl may grow into the husband whose wife has to beg him for money and who places the property accumulated by their joint efforts in his name alone. I don't know whether the injustice complained of by this wife for eighteen years is general or not. I know of many homes in which the property is in the wife's name alone. But whether "C. H. B.'s" situation is general or exceptional, it ought not to be possible. If marriage is a partnership, as so many men have urged, the profits as well as the work should be divided equally between the partners, and it should not be in the power of one legally to defraud the other of her just share. If marriage is not a partnership, involving equal duties and equal profits, then those persons who claim that wives should be paid salaries are entirely right.

The truth about the marriage laws is that, being exclusively man-made, they are the natural product of a being who sees himself only in his noblest and most generous aspect.

He leaves everything relating to the division of property to the individual husband to perform or neglect except in those countries which recognize the wife's right in community property one-half of the profits of the marriage partnership.

Here is the story of a wife who has given eighteen years to helping a man accumulate property, which she very truthfully says could be willed away from her children or be willed upon the offering of a second wife, should she die before her husband. It is followed by a cheerful little rhyme from a more contented woman.

SHE DOESN'T THINK HUSBAND PLAYS FAIR.
Dear Madam, I have spent seven-

me, and he has had as much opportunity to have comfort from the family ties as I have, and he has all the property besides. Of course he cannot sell any of it without my signature as long as I live, which shows that I do really have an interest in it, but if I die before he does, what have I to leave to my children? Love, oh, yes, they worship mother. If he dies he can will the property pretty much as he sees fit to do. If I die first and he should marry again, would my own children stand a chance of getting much of what their mother worked so hard to save and accumulate? There is the point—protecting the children. This all could be set right, if husbands and wives would always put every piece of property in both names have the deed show the property to be in the name of, for instance, John G. Smith and his wife, Mary H. Smith. Then neither party could squander the property without the consent of the other, and each one could will the interest he or she has in the property as fit to do, and all through life the couple have each had something worth while to work for. Now here I am raising three children.

My son, sixteen years of age, says he will never treat a wife like dad has treated me in the way of money and property. (I am supposed to ask for whatever I get in money.)

My two daughters, fourteen and twelve, at this early time most positively declare they will never, never marry.

Should my son continue as he now believes, some future wife will profit by my experience; but how about the girls? And yet the men wonder why there are so many, and an ever increasing number, too, of unmarried women. Place a man in the same situation for a life of suffering and hard work and see how many would hold out as well as the women have for countless years. I was very economical for years, until we secured a home over our heads and a little extra, too. Now I would like to commence to fix up my home with an occasional new chair, new rug, perhaps window hangings, but I find that year by year it is harder to get my husband interested in having things better now that the children are getting larger. I find it harder to get what is needed for the children than I do for his wine. The children then note this, and as a result my advice to them always to love and honor their father does not go very deep.

My husband has always been a great reason to talk about the "boss of the family" and "the head of the family," and is growing more "bossy" as he advances in years. When I have a few moments' time for reflection I generally come to this conclusion: I generally remain single until you have some property of your own that brings in an income, however small. That I better than having to tag some man and beg for the little you can get. Business is business, even in love. Way, before I was married I always thought that if ever I had any property left to me, one-half of it should at once be my husband's. Why do you suppose I have changed my mind? C. H. B.

THEY LEAVE THE QUESTION UNDECIDED.

For twelve happy years I've been married to Ned.

The question of bossing never entered my head.

To the crown of a monarch we never aspired.

The secret of love was all either de-

MADE A \$10,000 HUBBY OUT OF HIM, SAYS \$6,500 WIFE

Court Sends Divorce Suit of Alexander Schafer's Wife to a Referee.

WANTS TO GET TRUTH.

Says Affidavits on Both Sides Hint at Reckless Swearing and Falsification.

Cecilia Schafer and Alexander Schafer, whom she is suing for a divorce before Justice Greenbaum in the Supreme Court, surprised the Court by their affidavits and counter charges regarding her request for alimony. The Justice to-day sent the matter of Lucia L. Gilbert of No. 4 Cedar street, as referee, with the comment: "The affidavits on both sides indicate most reckless swearing and deliberate falsification and suppression of the truth."

Mrs. Schafer, who is described by her husband as the leading skirtswaist designer of the trade, and commanding for herself a salary \$125 a week, asserts that her husband earns a salary of \$10,000 a year, which is altogether due to her advice, financial help and work. The husband is the secretary and treasurer of the Parlian Child Embroidery Company in West Twenty-third street. The wife says she controls a majority of the stock. Mrs. Schafer says she provided the capital which put Mr. Schafer in business and that she has constantly helped his business by sending buyers and manufacturers to him and telling him how to deal with them.

QUIT WORK, BUT HAD TO RETURN TO IT, SHE SAYS.

When he became prosperous, she says, and they no longer had to depend on her salary for the support of their home she stopped work and they moved to a home in the Bronx, where she devoted herself to caring for their six-year old boy. She says she has since been obliged to go to work to support herself and her son.

"His prosperity," said Mrs. Schafer to an Evening World reporter to-day, "was the ruin of our happiness. So long as I was the support of the family we were happy. But as soon as he became a \$10,000 husband he began to neglect us. He ran after other women to spend his money with. Many of them were buyers in the garment district. I had introduced him. One buyer to whom I introduced him is named as a co-respondent in my suit."

"After I introduced him he turned to me and said: 'What a fat, ugly woman! She looks like a model for a Chicago packing house.' Yet when my detectives broke into a hotel room where I was with him, he said: 'No more \$10,000 husband for me! We should have stayed poor and happy.'"

Mr. Schafer in his affidavit says he is much less able to pay alimony than his wife is able to support herself. He calls upon a hotel room where I was with him, he said: 'No more \$10,000 husband for me! We should have stayed poor and happy.'"

WEDDING GUEST HURLED THROUGH AUTO WINDSHIELD

Car Skids on Yonkers Avenue and Crashes Into a Pole.

Returning from a wedding in Hastings-on-Hudson early today a party of New Yorkers was in a bad automobile smash on Yonkers avenue. Paul Pugh, of Riverside Drive and One Hundred and Ninth street, a builder, was severely cut by being thrown through the windshield. He was taken home, refusing to go to a hospital.

The driver of the car, Mrs. M. and Mrs. Joseph T. Callahan and Miss M. Callahan, who live on Washington Heights. The car was driven by Henry C. Brown of Hastings-on-Hudson.

While making a turn the car skidded and crashed against a pole. All escaped injury except Mr. Pugh, and they remained with him until another car could be obtained, and in that they came to New York.

BRITISH DOCTORS IN LINE.

Give Up Opposition to Insurance Law and Will Serve.

LONDON, Jan. 18.—The members of the British Medical Association to-day decided by a majority of 115 against 25 votes to release the British doctors from the pledge they had given not to serve under the National Insurance act, which provides a monetary allowance and medical attendance to the working classes during sickness.

The opposition of the doctors to the scheme of state insurance was based on the amount to be paid to them for their services to the insured persons, which they declared was too small. It is suspected, however, that politics has much to do with the doctors' reluctance, especially in London. The minority at to-day's meeting was composed entirely of London practitioners and the campaign against the act continues in the metropolis.

"CORPSE" HAD AN APPETITE.

Brought "Back to Life" by Undertaker, He Enjoyed Breakfast.

CORNING, N. Y., Jan. 18.—As an undertaker was preparing to embalm his body today, Harry Brigham, a long time resident of Hilyson, Pa., shook off his shroud, straightened up in bed and asked for breakfast. After eating Brigham said he felt better than he had in several days.

BRANDT IS HIDDEN IN WASHINGTON BY HIS SENATOR SPONSOR

Pardoned Man Departs for St. Paul, Minn., After Nelson Shields Him From Publicity.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 18.—Paul E. Brandt, pardoned yesterday by Gov. Bulser of New York, left here to-day for St. Paul, Minn. Senator Nelson, who has been one of his sponsors in his long fight for freedom, refused to reveal Brandt's ultimate destination. Although Brandt was enjoying his first absolute freedom for five years, he kept himself hidden here to-day. The former valet arrived from New York early to-day with Senator Nelson.

The two were whisked away from the station at once and Brandt disappeared. At Senator Nelson's home his housekeeper denied that the ex-convict was there. Senator Nelson refused to say where his protégé was hidden, or to comment on Brandt's future plans. "I do not want any publicity about Brandt now," he declared. "I appreciate the fact that The World has helped free him, but nevertheless in view of his new start in life, I cannot tell you where he is or where you may find information concerning him."

GIRL BEATS INTERBORO IN SUIT OVER NICKEL; GETS \$3,000 VERDICT

Marie Dobbs Also Establishes Her Identity, Disputed by a Minister's Family.

Marie Dobbs of No. 68 Hanson place, Brooklyn, got a verdict to-day for \$3,000 damages against the Interborough Rapid Transit Company from a jury before Justice Scudder in the Supreme Court, Brooklyn.

In February, 1911, Marie Dobbs bought a ticket at an "R" station. She received a nickel change, and the nickel fell into the chopper with the ticket. She asked for the return of the money and the ticket agent said the best he could do was to give her a letter to the company. She suggested that he return the nickel himself and that he do the rest of the money.

"After I introduced him he turned to me and said: 'What a fat, ugly woman! She looks like a model for a Chicago packing house.' Yet when my detectives broke into a hotel room where I was with him, he said: 'No more \$10,000 husband for me! We should have stayed poor and happy.'"

Mr. Schafer in his affidavit says he is much less able to pay alimony than his wife is able to support herself. He calls upon a hotel room where I was with him, he said: 'No more \$10,000 husband for me! We should have stayed poor and happy.'"

WEDDING GUEST HURLED THROUGH AUTO WINDSHIELD

Car Skids on Yonkers Avenue and Crashes Into a Pole.

Returning from a wedding in Hastings-on-Hudson early today a party of New Yorkers was in a bad automobile smash on Yonkers avenue. Paul Pugh, of Riverside Drive and One Hundred and Ninth street, a builder, was severely cut by being thrown through the windshield. He was taken home, refusing to go to a hospital.

The driver of the car, Mrs. M. and Mrs. Joseph T. Callahan and Miss M. Callahan, who live on Washington Heights. The car was driven by Henry C. Brown of Hastings-on-Hudson.

While making a turn the car skidded and crashed against a pole. All escaped injury except Mr. Pugh, and they remained with him until another car could be obtained, and in that they came to New York.

BRITISH DOCTORS IN LINE.

Give Up Opposition to Insurance Law and Will Serve.

LONDON, Jan. 18.—The members of the British Medical Association to-day decided by a majority of 115 against 25 votes to release the British doctors from the pledge they had given not to serve under the National Insurance act, which provides a monetary allowance and medical attendance to the working classes during sickness.

The opposition of the doctors to the scheme of state insurance was based on the amount to be paid to them for their services to the insured persons, which they declared was too small. It is suspected, however, that politics has much to do with the doctors' reluctance, especially in London. The minority at to-day's meeting was composed entirely of London practitioners and the campaign against the act continues in the metropolis.

"CORPSE" HAD AN APPETITE.

Brought "Back to Life" by Undertaker, He Enjoyed Breakfast.

CORNING, N. Y., Jan. 18.—As an undertaker was preparing to embalm his body today, Harry Brigham, a long time resident of Hilyson, Pa., shook off his shroud, straightened up in bed and asked for breakfast. After eating Brigham said he felt better than he had in several days.

Brigham, who has been ill a long time, was found "dead" by his family, and after two hours' effort to arouse him, summoned an undertaker without first calling a physician.

ROMANCE TRAIN OF YOUTH AND AGE QUILTS THE TRACK

Young Mrs. Levey Asks Court to Annul Marriage of a Year Ago.

BLAMES HER UNCLE NED.

Sued for Separation First, but

Now Brings a New Action.

The romance of elderly Clarence Levey and his girl bride of less than a year, which has been bumping the tracks of domesticity altogether, Mrs. Levey, through a suit filed to-day in the Supreme Court, has asked for an annulment by way of uttering a hurly call to the wrecking car.

Mrs. Levey was just twenty-two years old when she was married to Mr. Levey, who is sixty-two. The bride was Warren Dodge, who is beautiful and intelligent, a slender brunette. She met Mr. Levey, who was a retired policeman, stationer and a former Deputy Tax Commissioner, through her uncle, Edward H. Dodge, a lawyer. With Uncle Ned, after what Mrs. Levey describes as a frantically warm courtship, Mr. Levey took Miss Dodge to Hoboken on Feb. 2 of last year and was married to her by a justice of the peace.

The arrest of Mrs. Levey on the complaint of her Uncle Ned was the first intimation of trouble. This was less than a month after the wedding. Uncle Ned said that Warren quarreled with her husband, carried a revolver and most wicked of all—broke into his room on his bride night (he had just married Mr. Levey's former housekeeper) and, to quote him, "raided Cain."

Magistrate McQuaid put her under \$100 bonds to keep the peace for six months. Mrs. Levey, she said, closed the door on her, under the influence of Uncle Ned, who had turned against her.

Two months later Mrs. Levey sued for a separation. Now she is suing to have the marriage wiped off the records, she asserts, in support of her petition, that Mr. Levey's premarital order did with the wedding ceremony. He showed her no affection. He made excuses for his indifference, such as that her use of ordinary cosmetics disgusted him.

Mr. Levey was divorced six years ago from Mrs. Tronson, who was engaged to marry him in New Jersey when her tearoom in Orange was burned and she was found in the yard in her night dress moaning a queer story of burglary and arson.

KIN AGAINST LUCKENBILL.

Brother One of Witnesses on Whose Testimony Wife Obtains Divorce.

(Special to The Evening World.) HEMPSTEAD, Jan. 18.—Eleanor Allen Spooner Luckenbill, member of one of the oldest and best known families of Long Island, obtained a divorce to-day in the Nassau County Supreme Court from William Luckenbill of Allentown, Pa.

Mrs. Luckenbill is the soprano soloist of St. George's Episcopal Church, of which August Belmont is the Senior Warden.

Mrs. Luckenbill said she was married on Nov. 6, 1907, and on Aug. 4, 1911, Luckenbill left her without cause. Eleanor Luckenbill, a brother of the defendant, testified that in January, 1912, he went with his brother to the Windsor Hotel, Manhattan, and saw him register with a woman, Henry E. Cowell Jr., said he had seen Mr. Luckenbill drinking with a woman he knew only as "Charlotte" in the Windsor Hotel, and later they entered an apartment. Mr. Luckenbill is well known in Southampton and Allentown, Pa.

Columbians Hail U. S. Minister. BOGOTÁ, Colombia, Jan. 18.—James T. Dubois, United States Minister to Colombia, returned here yesterday from Washington and was greeted with a hearty reception. The Colombian press unanimously claims that the indications point to a friendly settlement of the dispute in regard to Panama.

"Twenty real good ones!"

The goodness of pure tobacco—
And the harmony of a real blend—
That's why you like
Fatima, Turkish-blend Cigarettes
"Distinctively Individual"

20 for 15¢